

MARCH 2024

INSIGHTS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN THE LAW

POZZUOLO RODDEN POZZUOLO, P.C.
COUNSELORS AT LAW
2033 WALNUT STREET, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103
215-977-8200/FAX 215-977-9663
www.pozzuolo.com



AWARD:

Pozzuolo Rodden Pozzuolo, P.C., is pleased to announce that Joseph R. Pozzuolo, once again, has been awarded the 2024 Martindale-Hubbel AV Preeminent rating for the Highest Level of Professional Excellence – the highest Peer Review Rating achievable recognizing lawyers for their strong legal ability and high ethical standards. This rating is used to identify, evaluate and select the most appropriate lawyers by attorneys seeking to refer colleagues as well as individuals looking for legal counsel. This designation is trusted worldwide by seekers of legal services and held only by 10 percent of all attorneys.

INSIGHTS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN THE LAW

BUSINESS START-UP CHECKLIST

Both heart and mind must be working well if the owners of a new small business are to experience success. While it is only human nature, not to mention fun, to indulge one's imagination about what a new business started from scratch could be like, would-be entrepreneurs need to engage in some cold, hard thinking and planning before taking the plunge. At the risk of pouring cold water on some of the anticipation and excitement, what follows is a guide for how to plan for and think through the many decisions that must be made well before you have that "Grand Opening" sign made.

Why?

This may seem obvious, but you should know just what your reasons are for wanting to start a new business. If the motivations are weak, odds are the business will be a bust, but well-founded reasons can help a business persevere through good times and bad. Some common reasons for starting a new business include escaping the whole 9-to-5 routine (though it may be replaced by an 8-to-8 routine), answering to no one else, upgrading your standard of living, and being convinced that you can provide a needed product or service.

Why me?

Let's face it, not everyone is cut out to be a captain of industry, or even captain of a small business. Maybe you need not subject yourself to an intensive psychological and life-experiences evaluation, but be honest with yourself about whether you have the necessary characteristics, skills, and experience. A few examples can give you the idea:

- Can you make yourself pull the trigger on an important decision?
- Do you see competition as exciting or just stress-inducing?
- Are you willing and able to plan ahead?
- Do you like interacting with people you don't know?
- Do you have the perseverance, not to mention the physical stamina and health, to put in long hours if that's what is needed to make the business succeed?
- Are you, and anyone else financially dependent on you, prepared to risk your savings in pursuit of the business dream if that's what it takes?
- Unless you are planning a one-man band of a business, are you comfortable with

hiring, supervising, and possibly having to fire other people?

- Are you reasonably well organized?
- Do you know anything about the paperwork and legal side of running a business, such as payroll and accounting, the permits or licenses you will need, or the regulations and laws that may apply to the business?

Why This Business?

You may have the best motives and a skill set that would be the envy of any MBA graduate, but if there is no niche for your planned business, or, simply put, if not enough people will want to buy what you are selling, the new business will fail. The variables here include timing, location, and simply whether your business is feasible or practicable, so that you can be the one to fill that niche that you have first identified. Don't make your business the equivalent of carrying coals to Newcastle.

In economic terms, you want to do some investigation to determine if there is some currently unmet demand for the product or service that is competitive in quality, selection, price, and/or location. In short, learn as much as you can about the market you will be in. Learn who your customers will be and try to understand their needs and desires. Anticipate how your fledgling business will compare with any established competitors. What can you do in setting up and running the business to make sure you get your share of whatever market there is for your product or service?

How?

Turning the idea into bricks and mortar (literally or figuratively) involves a lot of decisions, some of which are best made only after getting professional advice. Still, you should acquire at least a layperson's understanding of the pros, cons, and consequences of each decision.

Choose a name for the business that you find appealing, but also one that is informative for someone hearing it for the first time. Select the most appropriate business form, such as a sole proprietorship, a partnership, or a corporation. Investigate which local, state, and federal laws and regulations will apply to the business. This will run the gamut from laws of universal application (e.g., taxes) to laws specific to your business.

Make an unflinching and detailed examination of your financial picture. How much do you have now, how much will you need to start the business, and how much will you need to stay in business? Projecting cash flow into the future means taking into account such variables as seasonal trends in sales, the amount of cash taken out of the business for personal expenses, whether and when to expand the business, and the rate at which customers will pay off accounts if credit is extended to them.

Find a location for the business that is convenient for customers, appropriate in size and configuration, and zoned so as to allow your type of business. When you have settled on the product or service you will sell, calculate the inventory you should create and maintain and

locate reliable suppliers.

Finally, if you go to all the trouble and expense involved in creating a small business, don't forget to think about protecting against losing the business from such threats as fire, theft, robbery, vandalism, and liability for an accident. This means taking measures to provide security, but also arranging for the appropriate types and levels of insurance.

BANK VIOLATES TRUTH IN LENDING ACT

A husband and wife who operated a day-care business out of their home decided to take out a new mortgage on the home. Over the 10 years that they had owned the business they had taken corresponding deductions and depreciation on their tax returns to account for the business run from the home. As calculated for tax purposes, approximately 17% of the home was devoted to the day-care business, even though during the hours when the day-care business was open about 52% of the home's square footage was devoted to that use.

The homeowners came to realize that their lender had dramatically increased their monthly payments and had sent the loan documents to them when it was too late by law for them to change their minds (more than three days after they signed the papers). They sued the bank under the federal Truth in Lending Act (TILA), asking that the loan transaction be rescinded. Among other things, TILA requires lenders to provide particular disclosures to borrowers of "high-rate" loans when points and fees exceed 8% of the amount borrowed. The bank had not made these disclosures to the borrowers at least three days ahead of the transaction, as required by the TILA.

The bank's response was two-pronged. First, it argued that TILA did not even apply to the case because of an exemption in the law for extensions of credit primarily for business or commercial purposes. Second, the bank took the position that the points and fees that the homeowners were required to pay could not count toward the 8% threshold because the homeowners had folded those costs into the loan instead of paying them up front in cash. A federal trial court sided with the homeowners on both points, allowing their case to go to trial.

Regarding the bank's claim that the "business purposes" exception in TILA should apply, the key fact was that, properly calculated, only a small percentage of the home was devoted to the business, thus defeating any attempt to argue that the loan was primarily for business or commercial purposes. As for the fact that the points and fees were financed, not paid in cash, this method of payment was of no consequence for purposes of meeting the 8% threshold. The applicable statutory language says only that the points and fees must be "payable" by the consumer at or before closing. The borrowers did bear the costs of the points and fees at the time of the closing, no matter whether they were being paid then, deducted from loan proceeds, or, as happened here, added to the amount to be financed over time.

Working in favor of the borrowers on both points was the fact that TILA is a remedial statute to be construed and applied so as to achieve its goals of assuring the meaningful disclosure of

credit terms and avoiding the uniformed use of credit.

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT

When the federal government required one of its defense contractors to reduce its workforce, the contractor first evaluated its employees based on the criteria of “performance,” “flexibility,” and “critical skills.” After adding points to scores for years of service, the employer arrived at a list of 31 employees to be laid off. On their face, the criteria were age-neutral, but all but one of the employees chosen to receive a pink slip were at least 40 years old, within the age group protected by the federal Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA).

The laid-off employees sued their former employer under the ADEA, alleging the disparate impact form of age discrimination. Disparate impact refers to the use of policies or criteria by an employer in making employment decisions that are not overtly based on age, but which, when applied, allegedly have a disproportionate impact on older individuals. (The other type of employment discrimination, known as “disparate treatment,” asserts that the employer intentionally treated applicants or employees differently because of their age.)

The plaintiffs first established, using statistical experts, that such a skewed result against older workers under the layoff criteria would rarely happen by chance, and that the same factors that were most closely linked statistically to the older employees—flexibility and critical skills—were also the factors most influenced by the discretion of the contractor’s supervisors.

The contractor countered that it was not liable because the ADEA provides that an employer action is not unlawful if differentiation among employees is based on “reasonable factors other than age” (RFOA). A jury returned a multimillion-dollar verdict for the plaintiffs. Ultimately, the case reached the United States Supreme Court, which upheld the judgement for the plaintiffs.

The critical issue determined by the Supreme Court was whether the RFOA element needed to be proven by the plaintiffs or by the defendant employer. In other words, did the plaintiffs have to prove that there were no reasonable factors other than age underlying the employer’s decision, or did it fall to the employer to present an “affirmative defense” and prove the existence of the other reasonable factors? Examining the language of the ADEA and taking note of a previous ruling where a similar provision in the law was in the nature of an affirmative defense, the Court ruled that RFOA is an affirmative defense that the employer must prove and, in this case, had not.

The Court’s opinion anticipated criticism, which, in fact, was forth-coming, that its decision could open the floodgates for similar claims and make it too easy for plaintiffs to prevail. It pointed out that, even before the RFOA affirmative defense comes into play, the plaintiff in an ADEA disparate impact case must isolate and identify specific performance practices by the employer that are responsible for statistical disparities disfavoring older workers. As the Court

put it, “[t]his is not a trivial burden.”

However, concerns about tilting the scales too far against employers should be directed at Congress, according to the Court, since it created the RFOA concept and made it a defense to be proven by employers.

ALL IN THE FAMILY— FATHER WINS \$4 MILLION FROM DAUGHTER

A jury has returned a multimillion-dollar judgement for the plaintiff in a case that is likely to make for strained relationships for one Michigan family. The winner, Richard, was the retired founder of a large automobile dealership. The loser was his daughter, Gail, to whom he had sold a controlling interest in the dealership. The jury agreed that Gail had made decisions that had hurt the dealership had come to a head when it was discovered that the dealership had sold millions of dollars’ worth of vehicles that it had not paid for, a practice called “selling out of trust” in the automobile sales industry.

The main transgression by the second-generation ownership was the use of funds derived from shares in the dealership to buy two new car dealerships, one of which was located just across the street from the original business. Even though the dealerships sold different brands of vehicles, the competitive disadvantage caused by the new competition was clear. Not only that, but a clause in the agreement between Richard and Gail had restricted Gail from acquiring competing dealerships.

While the bulk of the jury verdict was attributable to breach of the non-compete provision, there were additional amounts awarded for a monthly consulting fee that Gail was supposed to have paid her father after she took over the business, for interest due on a loan under a “shareholder oppression” claim, and, for good measure, for sanctions.

GENERATION-SKIPPING TRUSTS

If you have heard of generation-skipping trusts (GSTs) at all, you probably think of them as a way for wealthy families to shield their fortune from estate taxes. That is true as far as it goes, but the GSTs can also have benefits for the less well off by protecting assets from ex-spouses and creditors and by serving as a place for appreciable assets to grow outside of taxable estates.

Although the phrase “generation skipping” sounds like an arrangement which leaves out children altogether in favor of the grandchildren in fact what a GST “skips” is the taxation of assets put into children’s estates by their parents. In a typical scenario, grandparents who are satisfied that their children are financially secure may decide to set up a GST in favor of all of their descendants as possible beneficiaries. Successive generations eventually receive the assets without the repeated imposition of estate taxes when each preceding generation dies. The assets are taxed only once, at the time of the initial transfer to the trust.

The first generation of children can be made to benefit as well. Although they technically won't own the assets in the trust, they can be given a right to distributions for their reasonable needs, meaning not only their support and maintenance, but also "comforts, conveniences, pleasures, and happiness." However, discretion over whether trust funds may be used for the benefit of the child, but by a "disinterested trustee," that is, someone who is not a related or subordinated person as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

There is a limit on the amount that can be transferred into a GST. Currently, the limit is \$2 million for each person setting up the trust. In other words, a married couple could place up to \$27,220 million in a GST. In 2024, the per-person amount is set to rise to \$13.61 million per person. Any amount that is transferred in excess of the limit is subject to gift or estate tax when the older generation passes along the assets, and an additional "generation-skipping tax" is imposed when the children die and the property is transferred to the grandchildren. The potential estate tax benefits of a GST are easy to see when it is considered that each dollar over the limit is taxed at the highest estate tax rate, which currently is 40%.

If there are downsides to a GST for some people, they may be found in the fact that someone outside the family (the trustee) will become intimately involved in the family's money matters, and that it will be necessary to file an income tax return for the trust each year. Still, under the right circumstances and with proper planning under the guidance of a professional, these and any other drawbacks for a GST could pale next to the bottom-line advantages realized as assets are passed from generation to generation without Uncle Sam taking his cut.

Please visit our website: www.pozzuolo.com

PUBLICATIONS

All of the following professional publications and past newsletters written by attorneys of this office are available by clicking here: http://pozzuolo.com/Pubs_Articles.shtml

Corporate/Tax Articles

- Bankruptcy - How To Prevent It And How To Cope With It Should It Happen To Your Business
- Deferred Compensation Rewards And Retains Key Employees
- Design Buy-Sell Agreements For Maximum Utility
- How An S Corporation Avoids The Double Taxation Incurred When Excessive Compensation Is Treated As A Dividend
- How Mortgage Lenders Should Draft Broker Agreements To Avoid RESPA Violations
- How To Look, Act And Sound Like A Professional Corporation
- How to Structure a Suitable Buy-Sell Agreement
- How To Use Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Arrangements As A Business, Retirement And Tax Planning Tool
- Money Purchase Pension Plan Falls Out Of Favor

- Protecting A Client's Business From Unfair Competition Using Restrictive Covenants
- Structuring Loans From Qualified Plans - How To Handle The Strict Tax Rules
- What Type of Qualified Corporate Retirement Plan Best Serves Your Business, Tax And Retirement Needs
- Why An Employment Contract Is Mandatory

Estate Planning Articles

- Adapt Estate Planning Strategies to Fit the Needs of Same-Sex Couples
- College Funding Tool Offers Estate Planning Advantage
- Diversify Strategies For An Effective Estate Plan
- Divorce and Estate Planning
- Divorce Raises The Need For Performing An Estate Planning Review
- Drafting The Durable Power Of Attorney For Wealth Protection Purposes
- Estate Planning For Pet Owners
- Remarriage Situations Can Raise Special Estate Planning Considerations
- Six Proven Estate Planning Techniques
- Special Needs Trust - An Estate Planning Tool For The Disabled
- The Limited Liability Company -A Sophisticated Tool For Estate Planning
- Using Trusts To Maximize Family Protection And Minimize Estate Tax
- Why Living Wills- Advance Directives Are An Essential Part Of Estate Planning

To unsubscribe to this e-newsletter email: info@pozzuolo.com